

EXPUNGEMENT OF A CHARGE / EXTORTION BY DONALD J BEAL ON BEHALF OF VERONICA MARIE PETERSN IN TAKING FOR CONCEALMENT OF A NEWBORN CHILD AUGUST 2001-2022 TO DEFRAUD

2022 Nov 6th – JAMES ARNOLD ALLEN,

Re: case FR-2018-004 PONTOTOC COUNTY DISTRICT COURT, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

https://www.americanbar.org/groups/public_education/publications/teaching-legal-docs/what-is-_expungement-/

If a charge is expunged, it means that it has no legal standing. And thus no conviction of record. So a person with no conviction is not a “criminal” because the record has no conviction.

Persons who persist in claiming a person is a criminal based on an expunged charge are engaged in Federal Fraud, a felony, where it is to obtain money, property, or any thing of value predicated on such claim.

In todays round, the threat to publish a record as if it were a legal fact when the court has ruled it to be void, is fraud - so described in 5 U.S. Code section 706 “Fraud” or UIFSA section 6 “fraud”, and such use a full defense against financial demands of “child support” where the party seeking payment publishes false claims or publishes the demand of a debt to persons in an effort to extort payment, forfeit goods, or damage business so defined in violation of registered trademarks and Title 78 section 78-53.

Federal Law also makes non-payment of a civil debt such as a Title IV-D Agency cause that exceeds factual income minus 75% to be an illegal judgement; limited to “ability to pay” per 45 CFR 303.6 rule; and such rule “Required State Law” per 45 CFR 302.70 for the state to operate a Title IV-D Agency per 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 rule.

The law changed as a mandatory obligation of the state January 20 2017 in Federal Register Vol 81 No 244 page 93492. Any state not in compliance is guilty of 21 O.S. 21-1533 felony violation and its employees acting as such part of a criminal conspiracy against rights, a felony (18 US Code 241).

Any published claims of “Child Support Evasion” (a crime) are felony activity where a criminal indictment resulting in charges by a grand jury are not prior produced. No such indictment has been produced, nor investigation disclosed to the accused, and such claim is therefore fraud on prima facie to extort documented 11/6/2022 in ongoing INTERSTATE INTEREFERENCE IN COMMERCE activity with child taking and concealment from ORDERED POSSESSION since 2001 August.

If a grand jury was seated to bring charge against a person violating Federal Register Vol 81 No 244 page 93492 - that would be a felony violating 45 CFR 303.6 rule, which bars such actions and retaliation activity to lawful 45 CFR 302.56 rule and 302.56(f) civil procedure.

The burden of proof to show in civil court by a filing of a formal paper showing the factual income paid of record to the party PRIOR TO FILING A CITATION FOR CONTEMPT be included (filed formally and dated as such) in the TITLE IV-D FOLDER by the TITLE IV-D AGENT showing non-payment from "factual income" limited to payments made to the "estate" and excluding property or other non-taxable exempt goods in FEDERAL LAW JANUARY 20 2017 United States Public Policy, and limited to "factual income" excluding all private property and estate property and goods, as those are conjoined with the protected 75% of income not entitled taking by civil state court, and to show proof for any complaint of "unfair" or "unjust" use of 5 U.S. Code 101 "exclusive, limited, and delegated power" of the United States Department of Health and Human Services granted solely for the defined terms of "child support" as set forth in Federal Register Volume 81 No 244 page 93492.

States may not take a Title IV-D Agency name, Grant, or terms like “child support” and use them to impose fines in excess of “factual income” to produce “forced labor”, “debt bondage” or other form of “human trafficking” (a felony) so defined in 21 O.S. 21-748 Oklahoma State Law.

Nor are the laws of State of Texas permitted application in State of Oklahoma to suggest “Child Support Evasion” be applied where no charge is filed, where a civil process or civil suit is filed, to misrepresent such civil suit as a criminal suit, nor conceal that such civil suit is a violation of UIFSA section 314 to bar a “plaintiff” in another case already active (2015-2020) from action by filing suit in vexatious petitioner a frivolous and absurd civil suit for a non-party to a collateral contract to act in dilatory tactics concealing and removing a child from ORDERED POSSESSION or INHERENT RIGHTS on the basis of a “fictitious plaintiff”, a non-child non-person with no CERTIFICATE OF BIRTH or natural person against whom a cause and benefits of the United States Department of the Treasury has been wrongly established in Dallas County birth not-of-record.

Such act would be a felony, 18 US Code 666, on prima facie.

Know the parties, know the dispute.

False claims and 15 USC 1692d violations to extort or blackmail are criminal pattern of activity in injury to a child and in threat to coerce, deceive, harass, menace, intimidate, frighten, or induce anxiety in a person over 65 with medical heart or other life-threatening terminal condition - is “Elder Abuse”, a crime.

Any demand for civil debt exceeding 65% of the monthly “factual income” is further void, on prima facie of a demand letter, per 45 CFR 303.100(a)(3) and 15 US Code 1673(b) rule; a duty of a Title IV-D Agency to “automatically modify” based on State Income Taxes only; as income and per 42 US Code section 666(a)(10) Federal Law.

Failure to “automatically adjust” to “factual income” is a fraud by employees of the state; a duty obligated 21 O.S. 21-1305 removal from office without pension for failure to perform.

Estate income, not “personal property or franchise or other rights misrepresented as book value for taking” to incorporate XXIII-1A “Right to Work” and copyright and trademark right-of-use are not subject to taking in lieu of payments made to the estate. (586 U.S. ____ 2019 case 17-1091 oral pronouncement of ruling by Ruth Bader Ginsburg for the unanimous Supreme Court of the United States, per the court clerk record - citing EXCESSIVE FINES defined and 4th and 14th Amendment violation as BLACK CODES)

The civil lawsuit was “Veronica Marie Petersen”, a natural person; versus “JAMES ARNOLD ALLEN”, an estate at law and limited property of the Congress of the United States in title (per *Flemming v Nestor*, 1960).

By changing that to “VERONICA MARIE PETERSEN v JAMES ARNOLD ALLEN” to attempt a substitution of an estate, VERONICA MARIE PETERSEN, as creditor - fraud is evident and 18 US Code 666 violation plain to see in order 01-17702 tendered as evidence in suit filed in violation of “immunity” obligated case 15-06292493 already in progress, in Denton County.

The court failure to forward complaint for hearing in case 15-06292393 filed in PONTOTOC COUNTY DISTRICT COURT in case 01-17702 under UIFSA, is defect and obstruction of justice to destroy motions for modification without hearing contrary UIFSA Rev 2008; whereby 45 CFR 303.11(b)(17) obligates closure of the case for improper civil procedure barring normal civil process and judicial review denied to 45 CFR 302.56(f) claims duly filed in the PONTOTOC COUNTY DISTRICT COURT regardless of their opinion on the jurisdiction over any matter at law there; a failure of the attorney of VERONICA MARIE PETERSEN and vexatious petitioner in VERONICA MARIE PETERSEN in cause barred transfer of debt to any other legal person per order 01-17702 there filed in FR-2018-04 as evidence by Clay B. Pettis, her attorney in fact.

Harassment to suggest a criminal charge or “criminal” status exists prior conviction is a crime in Oklahoma State Law, per 21 O.S. 21-8, and part of felony activity themed abuse of public office in false Title IV-D activity and personification of a judge; themed 21 O.S. 21-1533 and 21-1533.1 in publication of “Date of Birth” to extort payment of a civil debt by Donald J. Beal, a non-party to such civil suit and thus third-party attorney-in-fact acting on behalf of a non-party to civil suit 01-17702 in DALLAS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT, STATE OF TEXAS, at the direction of Veronica Marie Petersen in joint concealment and abuse of a newborn child and 2001-2022 abuse of a child with fraudulent identity of a second child alleged to be born in “Dallas County” which is “fraud” in a Title IV-D matter to embezzle benefits from the United States.

This is why such pattern of offenses are called a “Confidence Game” in Title 21 Oklahoma Criminal Code.

Embezzlement from Federal benefits programs, even by employees of the UNITED STATES or STATE OF TEXAS or STATE OF OKLAHOMA are felony acts. Sovereign State immunity or Federal Agency powers are no defense per 588 U.S. ____ (2019) case 17-647. Violations of this nature are 4th and 14th Amendment violations per 586 U.S. ____ (2019) case 17-1091 oral bench order of Ruth B. Ginsburg, Supreme Court Justice, for the unanimous decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, so filed in FR-2018-04 without objection as evidence, and thus legal fact now of record.

The use of “Stolen Valor” claims to suggest training is not Designated Military Service entitled public report on interview with a member of the ADA CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, or participation of an officer to extort in such fraud, or publication to coerce collection of a civil debt barred by 15 US Code 1692d rule - are felony claims to carry identity theft and trademark infringement and 78 O.S. 78-53 violations (ordinarily civil offenses) to a felony degree and grand theft degree (\$10,000+ USD) expressly named in demand in writing of \$70,000 USD sent Oct 5 2022 to extort a non-debtor of estate and business property, real estate, and its franchised commercial use across state borders: themed Interference in Interstate Commerce (18 U.S. Code 1951) felony violation.

Any claim of “Child Support Evasion” to hinder the commercial activity of a UNITED STATES CORPORATION of record, influence its securities price by concealment or abuse of minor children, or other fraud affecting the parent-child relationship, is felony activity and criminal activity also defined in 21 O.S. 21-1200.

COGENT COMMUNICATIONS, DIGITAL REALTY TRUST, EQUINIX, NTT AMERICA INC., PACKET CLEARING HOUSE (PCH, a not-for-profit corporation of State of California) and their members in the LOSTSERVER and LOOPBACK0.NETWORK labor union so defined under Oklahoma Constitution Article XXIII-1A are held responsible by my firms for such fraud; based on repeated (January 28 2022, April, May, July, September, and October 5 2022) demands made to further this fraud by the CANADASUCKS domain creator named in WHOIS records at 2323 Bryan St Dallas Texas office.

Those firms are competitors and subsidiaries of NTT GROUP of Nation of Japan, a 23% wholly owned beneficial owner in “GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN”, and thus a “foreign sovereign” in standing not licensed judicial authority or State of Oklahoma attorney license to publish in their claims any “legal advice” before the public on a case at law in which they are a non-party and beneficiary of the “forfeiture” of “SHADOWDANCERS L.L.C.”, “RACCOON TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED”, or “DEEP LAYER INC.” entities expressly sought in threats published by the same party - their agent-in-fact in this matter and “unregistered foreign agent”.

Sale or fraudulent conveyance of REGISTERED TRADEMARK themed to be the personal identity at-law of an officer of a competitor of record, “STRYX”, and use thereof to extort on concealment of the child of that “Registered Agent” found in the Oklahoma Secretary of State rolls, is a serious crime obligated prosecution and an attack upon the commission and authority of the State of Oklahoma to overthrow that “public office” for false debt barred by Federal Register Vol 81 No 244 page 93492 as “United States Public Policy”, a duty of a competent court to enforce without deviation. Interpretation is denied explicitly by law, per 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 rule, made “Required State Law” in 45 CFR 302.70 on January 20 2017.

No exemption may be sought now, per Federal Register Vol 81 No 244 page 93492; nor any prior granted exceed on written Ruling made prior over five years duration in exemption and obligation for the State and State Title IV-D Agency to comply.

Failure to comply disqualifies the right to use the term “child support” or any power reserved for a legitimate Title IV-D Agency or action, including “Child Support Evasion” as a statutory criminal charge.

Failure to comply is rebellion, and speech or publications against such Laws of the United States on prima facie are “Sedition”, a Federal Crime defined in 18 US Code 2384, where two or more persons sustain such claims at-law or in any combined act regardless agreement which is in publication (see fig. 2 - motion for enforcement).